



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/798,045

03/11/2004

Reinhard H. Hohensee

BLD20030031US1

4968

50441 7590 05/28/2009
DUFT BORNSSEN & FISHMAN, LLP
1526 SPRUCE STREET
SUITE 302
BOULDER, CO 80302

EXAMINER

WILLS, LAWRENCE E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2625

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/28/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/798,045	Applicant(s) HOHENSEE ET AL.	
	Examiner LAWRENCE E. WILLS	Art Unit 2625	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 March 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,5-9,11,13,14,16,18-22,24 and 26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,5-9,11,13,14,16,18-22,24 and 26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 2, 2009 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed March 2, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant states "Atkin does not teach or reasonably suggest the limitation of "a second parameter for enabling and disabling the type of downstream processing of the section of Unicode complex text identified in the print stream" as recited in claim 1.

Atkin'590 teaches a second parameter (more parameters, number 45, Fig. 4) for enabling and disabling the downstream processing of the Unicode complex text in the print stream (ignore, paragraph 0085, and cancel tag paragraph 44). Both the ignore and cancel tags can be used in conjunction with other parameters and tags to disable processing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1,3,5,6-9,11,13,14,16,18-22,24, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patel et al. (US Patent No. RE37,258) in view of Atkin (US Publication No. 2003/0023590).

Regarding claims 1, 7, 14, 20, Patel'258 teaches controlling downstream processing of print stream (format the printable information, column 1, line 49-50), the method comprising: receiving the print stream (the application program interacts with the printer driver software, column 2, line 25-30, further, number 108 in Fig. 1 shows the printer driver receiving a signal from the application program); inserting a control parameter in the print stream to modify the print stream (printer driver software produces a reformatted information stream containing the embedded commands, column 2, line 35-38), and transmitting the modified print stream for downstream processing (the converted information stream is applied to a printer port, column 2, line 38-41). Patel'258 fails to teach identifying the section of the Unicode complex text in the print stream ,a print stream contains a section of Unicode complex text and wherein the control parameter comprises: a first parameter indicating a

type of downstream processing for the Unicode complex text in the print stream; and a second parameter for enabling or disabling the downstream processing of the Unicode complex text in the print stream.

Atikin'590 teaches identifying the section of the Unicode complex text in the print stream (step 41, Fig. 4, example given in paragraph 112, control codes would need to be inserted, as in step 41, to force bidirectional rendering) a print stream contains a section Unicode complex text (Unicode data, paragraph 0077) and wherein the control parameter (metatag, paragraph 0077) comprises: a first parameter (metatag, paragraph 0077) indicating a type of downstream processing for the Unicode complex text in the print stream (algorithms can be recast in a more manageable context according to the metadata framework of the invention, paragraph 0061); and a second parameter (more parameters, number 45, Fig. 4) for enabling and disabling the downstream processing of the Unicode complex text in the print stream (ignore, paragraph 0085).

Having a system of Patel'258 reference and then given the well-established teaching of Atikin'590 reference, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the print driver system of Patel'258 reference to include the tag mechanism as taught by Atikin'590 reference since the tag mechanism allows for an unlimited number of possible identifiers, yet does not require any future code points to be

Art Unit: 2625

registered by a standardization body, and further the result of the combination would have been predictable.

Regarding claim 3, 8, 16, and 21 the combination of Patel'258 and Atikin'590 teach wherein the first parameter indicates bidirectional (bidi) layout processing of the Unicode complex text (bidirectional algorithm, paragraph 0086, Atikin'590).

Regarding claim 9 and 22, the combination of Patel'258 and Atikin'590 teach wherein the first parameter indicates a paragraph direction for the bidirectional layout processing of the Unicode complex text (PAR tag, paragraph 105 Atikin'590).

Regarding claim 5, 11, 18, and 24, the combination of Patel'258 and Atikin'590 teach wherein the first parameter indicates layout processing of glyphs within the Unicode complex text (MIR, paragraph 0107 Atikin'590).

Regarding claim 6, 13, 17, and 26 the combination of Patel'258 and Atikin'590 teach wherein the control parameter further includes a third parameter indicating text positioning at the completion of the downstream processing of the Unicode complex text (DIR, paragraph 0106 Atikin'590).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAWRENCE E. WILLS whose

Art Unit: 2625

telephone number is (571)270-3145. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, King Poon can be reached on 571-272-7440. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/King Y. Poon/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625

LEW
May 26, 2009